

Thus, it is evident that, while the research of Mr. Nevins revealed valuable materials on the texture and fossil fauna and flora of the northwest part of the Capitan Formation, the fact that the research was so restricted, and omitted several crucial factors, shows that his work can not be used as a basis for estimating the age of the reef (as the author suggests that we can). ^{Also,} ~~It~~ must be remembered that the question of how much time has elapsed since the first fossiliferous strata were laid down can not be settled by deciding whether or not a carbonate body which forms approximately one-tenth of the stratigraphic column is a true reef or not.

12. Certainly the final footnote of Mr. Nevins' paper (p. 248, note # 46) is a doubtful and strange statement. It is very unlikely that the author will be able to cite even one example of the use of the term "reef complex" in any of the literature of psychology. The use of the word comolex in geology and in psychology are entirely unrelated.

*I'm not sure
this adds to your position.
You are right, almost certainly,
but your emphasis on the scientific
& observational aspects of the situation (in body of
your paper)
is your main strength.*